

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/899,566	07/06/2001	Wouter Onno Pril	P 0281484 P-0197.020 US	1633
909	7590 10/07/2002			
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 10 MCLEAN, V		YOUNG, CHRISTOPHER G		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1756	1
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2002	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner County Croup Art Unit 1756			
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence add. Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	Pril et .		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE	ress		
OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered. - If NO period for reply specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Status - Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered - if NO period for reply pice specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on	IG DATE		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	timely.		
This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims Claim(s)			
□ This action is FINAL. □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims □ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) □ Claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consition is/are allowed. □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction or requirement. Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).			
Disposition of Claims Claim(s)	•		
Claim(s)	d in		
Of the above claim(s)			
Of the above claim(s)	ation.		
□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction or requirement. Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is □ approved □ disapproved. □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).			
□ Claim(s)			
□ Claim(s)			
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The proposed drawing correction, filed on isapproveddisapproved. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).			
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The proposed drawing correction, filed on isapproveddisapproved. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).	election		
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is □ approved □ disapproved. □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).	5160(1011		
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is □ approved □ disapproved. □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). 			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).			
 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). 			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).			
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).			
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). 			
*Certified copies not received:			
Attachment(s)			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413			
	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other			
Office Action Summary			

Application/Control Number: 09/899,566 Page 2

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-25 and 31, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 355, subclass 18.
 - II. Claims 26-30, drawn to a method, classified in class 430, subclass 30.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Group I and of Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed could be utilized in a materially different process such as one that does not rely on the feedback information and merely performs exposure, such as an overall flood exposure.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/899,566

Page 3

Art Unit: 1756

- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Young whose telephone number is (703) 308-2984.

CHRISTOPHER G. YOUNG

cgy

October 3, 2002